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This presentation discusses a study which examined the ways in which reviewers ask for changes to be made to submissions to peer-reviewed journals. Ninety-seven reviewers’ reports were examined for the study. Forty-one reviewers also completed a questionnaire. Follow up email interviews were also conducted with reviewers. A speech act analysis was carried out of the ways in which the reviewers asked for changes to be made to submissions. The study found that requests for changes were largely made as directions, suggestions, clarification requests and recommendations. While a good number of these changes were requested directly, a large number of them were not. For authors who are new to the peer-review process, indirect requests of the kind revealed in the study can be difficult to decode. Very often these requests are directions to make very specific changes to a submission and need, it is argued, to be read as such. The findings are especially relevant to beginning researchers as they provide insights into how they can respond to reviewers’ reports and, thereby, increase their chances of publication.
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